| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | 10/774,423 | NAGASHIMA ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Marianne L. Padgett | 1792 |
| II Participants: Status of Application: <u>Restriction mailed</u> | | |
| (1) <u>Marianne L. Padgett</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>James Hamilton</u> . | (4) | • |
| Date of Interview: 23 January 2008 | Time: One o'clock | |
| | icant's representative) | |
| Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | • |
| Rejection(s) discussed: NA | | |
| Claims discussed: | | |
| 1-18 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| NA | | |
| Part II. | , | • |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN | | |
| Informed Mr. Hamilton that the restrict requirement mailed 1/10 two apparatus groupings & remailed. | 6/2008, after various consultatio | ons, was being revised to rejoin the |
| Part III. | | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ | The examiner will provide a ville record of the substance of | written summary of the substance the interview |
| | | |
| MARTER | | |
| MARIANNE PADGETT PRIMARY EXAMINER | .06\.Ae.ca.26 | <u> </u> |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica | ant/Applicant's Representativ | re Signature – if appropriate) |